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Editor’s Note

Readers may note that it has been two years since the last issues of the Quarterly were published. We are resuming publication with these issues and we are resuming it by simply assigning 2016 to the volume number that immediately follows the last issue. Volume 45 was published in 2014, now volume 46 is being published as 2016.

We are pleased to resume publication with a volume focused on a single theme. With the exception of the introductory essay, all essays in the issues of volume 46 were originally presented at meetings of the Stone-Campbell dialogue. Douglas A. Foster and Robert K. Welsh, two leaders in those dialogues, served as guest editors for these issues and wrote the introductory essay. We are grateful for their work of selecting these essays that provide our readers with such a good view of those dialogues and their efforts to bring into peaceful conversation the streams of the Stone-Campbell Movement.
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